

#11 / Reconsider
Tushy
6-29-00

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on 6/1/2000

Judy Paradski

(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee)

(Signature of Person Mailing Paper or Fee)

OFFICIAL

PATENT

Attorney Docket 20676-712

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Oliver P. Leisten

Serial No.: 09/204,863

Filed: December 3, 1998

Title: An Antenna

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Group Art Unit: 2821

Examiner: T. Ho

REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

FAX COPY RECEIVED

JUN 1 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated February 3, 2000.

REMARKS

Claims 38-92 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-43 of U.S. Patent No. 5,854,608. Attached is a Terminal Disclaimer to be used to overcome the rejection based on the nonstatutory double patenting ground. This Terminal Disclaimer fully complies with the Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) also attached.

1189496.1